

**CAGED HONEY BEES (*HYMENOPTERA*, *APIDAE*) :
COMPARATIVE VALUE OF SOME PROTEINS
FOR INITIATING AND MAINTAINING BROOD REARING ^(1,2)**

Elton W. HERBERT, Jr., H. SHIMANUKI and Dewey CARON *

*Bioenvironmental Bee Laboratory, Plant Protection Institute,
ARS, USDA, Beltsville, Md. 20705*

* *University of Maryland, College Park, Md. 20740.*

SUMMARY

Diets for honey bees, *Apis mellifera* L., that might substitute for pollen were formulated on the basis of chemical analyses of the essential nutrients in bee bread and pollen from 7 locations. These diets were then fed to newly emerged bees, and the brood-rearing capabilities were determined. A spray-dried brewers' yeast, whey by-products, and pollen were most effectively utilized by the bees for brood rearing, but formulated diets could be made more attractive by the addition of extracts of corn gluten. Bees fed diets fortified with 20 % whey-10 % corn gluten produced significantly more brood than bees fed whey fortified with either 1 or 5 % corn gluten or a pollen diet.

Although various materials have been fed to honey bees, *Apis mellifica* L., as pollen substitutes or as pollen supplements, brood rearing of such colonies has generally been poor compared with that of bees fed fresh pollen. For example, HAYDAK (1936) evaluated the effect of 11 protein sources fed to honey bee colonies by measuring the dry weight and nitrogen content of bee thoraces. Later (HAYDAK 1937), he found that colonies fed soybean flour or mixtures of skim milk powder with cottonseed or soybean meal reared brood normally though the number of sealed cells and larvae was smaller than the number reared by a caged colony fed pollen.

1. Part of a thesis submitted by the senior author in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the Ph. D. degree in Entomology, University of Maryland, College Park. Received for publication.

2. Mention of a proprietary item in this paper does not constitute an endorsement of this product by the USDA.

After HAYDAK's pioneering effort, MAURIZIO (1951) studied the degree of development of the hypopharyngeal glands of newly emerged bees fed 11 diets. Wahl (1954, 1963) found that confined colonies could rear brood when fed brewers' yeast, *Torula* yeast, or soybean flour or dried milk but that the amount was smaller than when colonies were fed bee-collected pollen or bee bread. Also STANDIFER *et al.* (1960) measured the gland development and longevity of honey bees fed protein diets and found that many of the sources tested were deficient in 1 or more amino acids. Later STANDIFER *et al.* (1970, 1973) evaluated other pollen substitutes but concluded that the biological effectiveness was minor compared with that of fresh pollen. We therefore decided to analyze bee bread and pollen and to formulate substitute diets based on our findings.

Materials and Methods.—Bee bread and pollen from 7 geographic locations in the United States were analyzed for moisture, protein, reducing and non-reducing sugar, starch, amylase activity, lipids, sulfated ash, crude fiber, pectins, and lactic acid. Then diets were formulated that contained 23 % protein, on the basis of this information, by varying the amount of protein added to a basic mixture consisting of sucrose (30.31 g), cottonseed oil (5.35 g), 10 ml of a vitamin B mixture * (HAYDAK and DIETZ 1965), and enough Alphacel® to make a total of 100 g (dry weight). Warm water was added until the diet could be worked into a moist patty. This basic mixture was used in all diets except those containing Wheat®. The Wheat diets did not contain cottonseed oil or the vitamin mixture. The fresh pollen used as the standard was obtained from the pollen traps placed on hives located in the Beltsville USDA apiary.

Candidate diets were fed to colonies housed in small hives (nucs) used for queen mating. The nucs were placed in flight cages (2 × 2 × 2 m) and divided into 2 units so each side consisted of 5 drawn shallow combs (25 × 16 × 20 cm) free of any pollen or honey. Each nuc was stocked with Italian worker bees and a fertile laying queen. The newly emerged workers were obtained by brushing combs of sealed brood taken from standard hives and held in an incubator at 35 °C. The bees were brushed from the combs at 6 h intervals until each nuc contained 500 g of bees.

As soon as the nucs were established, 100 g of a candidate test diet were placed in a plastic petri dish lid (15 × 100 mm) and inverted over the frames. Colonies were examined daily, and sugar syrup (50 % w/v) and diets were fed twice a week. When the 1st sealed brood appeared the number of sealed cells was estimated with a wire grid of 2.5-cm² divisions. The diet consumption was measured in the 1975 study but not in the 1973-1974 studies.

* The vitamin mixture consisted of the following (g/500 ml H₂O): choline chloride 50.000; nicotinic acid 18.000; calcium pantothenate 2.000; thiamine hydrochloride 0.900; riboflavin 1.800; pyridoxine 0.500; folic acid 0.250; biotin 0.025; inositol 18.000; and vitamin B₁₂ 0.002.

During the summer of 1973, 10 protein sources were tested, Wheat (2 diets), Ralston Purina Dog Chow[®], soybean protein, wheat germ flour, rolled oats, vitamin-free casein, Dadant's Quik-Gro[®], pollen and bee bread. The 1st Wheat diet contained Wheat alone; the 2nd contained Wheat supplemented with 10 ml of lactic acid which reduced the pH from 5.2 to 4.1. In 1974, 12 protein sources were tested: Wheat, pollen, cottonseed meal, corn steepwater, meat and bone meal, Vanderzant's diet, corn gluten meal, soybean meal, whey, *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* fermented whey, *Saccharomyces lactis* fermented whey, and Bee Wheat[®].

The soybean diet tested in 1973 contained soybean protein (72 %) extracted from defatted soybean meal by using various solvents. The soybean diet used in 1974 was soybean meal (44 % protein) from which the fats had been removed by a hexane extraction; following the hexane extraction, the meal was steamed at 100 °C for 15 min to inactivate the trypsin inhibitors.

In 1975, Nutrex 2.000[®], a spray-dried yeast (*Saccharomyces fragilis*) containing 50 % protein, and Yeaco 20[®], a spray-dried brewer's yeast containing 43 % protein, were compared with pollen and corn gluten. In addition, in an effort to increase the attractiveness of the diets to bees, the diet containing Wheat (34.48 g, 20 % protein) was formulated by mixing with either 1.60 g (1 % protein), 8.33 g (5 % protein) or 16.66 g (10 % protein) corn gluten. The diet containing soybean (45.45 g, 20 % protein) was prepared with 16.66 g corn gluten (10 % protein).

Results and discussion.—Several of the diets tested during the study that did not support brood rearing were effective in initiating egg laying by queens, but no further development occurred. In other cases, young larvae were observed several times, but none reached the pupal stage. The poor results with these diets may generally be explained by poor consumption and consequent inadequate intake of the nutrients required for minimum growth. We did not measure the diet consumption during the 1973-1974 study, but we felt that the differences in consumption were significant enough to change the outcome of the test.

Table 1 shows the total area (cm²) of brood for each diet that supported brood rearing during the studies in 1973 and 1974. In 1973, the consumption of soybean flour protein, rolled oats, and Quik-Gro was poor compared with the consumption of pollen and bee bread. Bees fed Wheat or the Wheat + lactic acid diet reared greater amounts of brood than pollen-fed bees, and there was no significant difference ($P > 0.05$) between the amount of brood reared by bees fed Wheat or Wheat-lactic acid diets. There was also no difference in the nutritive value of bee bread and pollen based on the amount of brood reared. There were 3 diets tested in 1973 that did not support brood rearing, Ralston Purina Dog Chow, wheat germ flour, and vitamin-free

casein. After 40 days, the nucs fed the dog chow were removed from the test because the population had dwindled severely. The wheat germ-fed bees reared larvae to the 2-to 3-day-old stage, but none were capped. Diet containing vitamin-free casein was inferior to all other diets. The bees did not consume the diet and apparently maintained themselves on the sugar syrup.

TABLE 1. — Amount of sealed brood^a reared by bees fed test diets in 1973^b and 1974^c

Diet	Total cm ² sealed brood	
	1973	1974
Soybean flour protein	247.7 ± 29.2	^a
Rolled oats	248.9 ± 24.4	—
Quik-Gro	349.2 ± 29.2	—
Bee Bread	1 052.8 ± 82.6	—
Wheat-Lactic acid	1 595.1 ± 73.7	1 551.9 ± 259.1
Wheat	1 339.9 ± 31.7	1 294.1 ± 49.5
Pollen	1 300.9 ± 184.2	1 433.8 ± 173.9
Bee Wheat	^a	415.3 ± 80.0
Soybean meal	—	447.0 ± 22.9
Corn steepwater	—	346.7 ± 11.4
Cottonseed meal	—	339.1 ± 95.3
<i>Lactobacillus</i> whey	—	388.6 ± 7.6
<i>Saccharomyces</i> whey	—	262.9 ± 34.3

a. Average for 2 nucs ± SE.

b. 12-wk test period.

c. 10-wk test period.

d. Not tested.

During 1974 (Table 1) bees fed Wheat-lactic acid reared more brood than bees fed any other diet including pollen and continued to rear brood longer. Initially the *Lactobacillus* whey diet was readily accepted by the bees, but the warm temperatures caused this more moist diet to run between the brood combs. When we tried to overcome the problem by placing a single layer of cheesecloth over the diet before the petri dish was inverted over the frames, consumption was greatly reduced. Bees fed the *Saccharomyces* whey diet reared less brood than bees fed the *Lactobacillus* whey diet, probably because 7 % lactose was present after the fermentation of whey by *Saccharomyces lactis*. Earlier studies (unpublished) have shown that bees are not able to tolerate more than 5 % lactose (the level in *Lactobacillus* whey was 1 %). The higher concentration of lactose in the *Saccharomyces* whey may have caused a substantial decrease in the consumption of this diet. Cottonseed

meal, corn steepwater, and soybean meal allowed brood rearing, but the levels were substantially lower than those for Wheat or pollen-fed bees.

There were 4 diets tested in 1974 that did not support brood rearing. The whey diet was hygroscopic, was not accepted by the bees, and consequently did not support brood rearing. The corn gluten was readily accepted, but no larvae older than 2-3 days were ever observed in these colonies. Bees fed the meat and bone meal had reared 38 cm² of brood to the pupal stage during 1 wk, but brood rearing ceased thereafter. Vanderzant's diet was not able to support brood rearing.

In 1975, Yeaco 20, a yeast product, was the most effectively utilized diet (Table 2) though Wheat and pollen-fed bees reared larger number of brood to the sealed stage. Bees fed Bee Wheat had retarded brood rearing probably because of relatively poorer acceptance of the diet. This poor acceptance may have occurred because of high levels of ash. Several of the final washings from the commercial preparation of Bee Wheat were eliminated, which gave levels of ash exceeding 15 % compared with less than 10 % in Wheat. When Bee Wheat was washed in distilled water to reduce the ash level, substantial amounts were removed. Kjeldahl nitrogen determinations showed that the level of protein was not significantly altered, and subsequent consumption was greatly improved. The rate of brood rearing then equalled that of Wheat-fed bees.

TAB. 2. — Consumption and amount of sealed brood^a reared by bees fed test diets in 1975.^b

Diet	Cm ² sealed brood	Grams diet consumed	Ratio : cm ² brood/diet
Yeaco 20	1195.1 ± 77 .5	606.00 ± 81.00	1.97
20 % Wheat-10 % corn gluten	1610.4 ± 137.2	1146.50 ± 23.50	1.40
Bee Wheat.....	1078.2 ± 138.4	700.50 ± 52.50	1.54
20 % Wheat-5 % corn gluten	1135.4 ± 15 .2	874.00 ± 24.00	1.29
Wheat	1205.2 ± 64 .8	798.50 ± 23.50	1.51
Pollen	1436.4 ± 49 .5	1155.00 ± 8.00	1.24
20 % Wheat-1 % corn gluten	941.1 ± 11 .4	823.00 ± 42.00	1.14
20 % Soybean-10 % corn gluten	444.4 ± 38 .1	683.50 ± 51.50	0.65
30 % Soybean	175.3 ± 2.54	469.50 ± 51.50	0.37

a. Average for 2 nucs ± SE.
 b. 12-wk test period.

When we mixed protein sources (Wheat or soybean + corn gluten) the brood-rearing capability of newly emerged bees was increased (Table 2). For instance, bees fed the 20 % Wheat-10 % corn gluten diet reared signifi-

cantly more brood than bees fed Wheat fortified with either 1 or 5 % corn gluten, pollen or Wheat. Bees fed the 20 % soybean diet supplemented with 10 % corn gluten reared a greater number of bees to the sealed stage; and this diet was consumed in greater quantities than the 30 % soybean diets, although these differences were not significant.

Therefore the diets tested during our 3-year study that successfully supported brood rearing did so generally because of increased consumption that resulted in the intake of adequate levels of the essential nutrients. Diets that did not support brood rearing were consumed in minimum quantities by the bees, probably because of poor consistency. However, some diets may have lacked essential vitamins, amino acids, or other nutrients required by the bees to support brood rearing.

Our test results indicate that bees can utilize many protein sources as pollen substitutes. The most promising based on quantity of brood reared, were those formulated with Wheat or Yeaco 20. Corn gluten appears to be a promising addition that makes diets attractive to bees. In addition, formulations should be prepared so that they can retain the desired consistency despite a variety of temperatures and humidities.

Reçu pour publication en mai 1977.

Eingegangen im Mai 1977.

RÉSUMÉ

COMPARAISON DE LA VALEUR DE QUELQUES PROTÉINES POUR LE DÉMARRAGE ET LE MAINTIEN DE L'ÉLEVAGE DE COUVAIN CHEZ DES ABEILLES ENCAGÉES

Des régimes pour l'abeille, *Apis mellifica* L., susceptibles de remplacer le pollen ont été mis au point d'après les analyses chimiques des constituants essentiels du pain d'abeille et du pollen, prélevés en 7 endroits différents. On a ensuite nourri avec ces divers régimes des colonies d'abeilles récemment écloses et maintenues en cage. Les régimes suivants, testés en 1973, ont permis l'élevage du couvain : Weast®, acide lactique — Wheat®, protéine de soja, flocons d'avoine, Quik Gro®, pollen et pain d'abeille.

En 1974 des abeilles, nourries avec du pollen, du Wheat®, du Bee Wheat®, du petit lait fermenté par *Saccharomyces*, du petit lait fermenté par *Lactobacillus*, de la farine de graine de cotonnier, de l'eau de trempage du maïs, de la farine de soja et des germes de blé, ont élevé du couvain avec succès. Au cours de 3 années d'étude, les abeilles nourries au Wheat ont élevé autant de couvain jusqu'au stade operculé que celles nourries au pollen. La consommation des divers régimes, sauf ceux renfermant du Wheat, a été faible par rapport à la consommation de pollen et de pain d'abeille.

Le régime testé, qui a été utilisé avec la meilleure efficacité, est le Yeaco 20®, produit constitué de levure. D'autres études ont montré que l'on pouvait rendre plus attractif les régimes constitués de Wheat en leur ajoutant des extraits de gluten de blé. Les abeilles nour-

ries avec des régimes renforcés avec 20 % de Wheat et 10 % de gluten de blé ont produit significativement plus de couvain que celles nourries avec des régimes de Wheat renforcés d'1 % ou de 5 % de gluten de blé, ou celles nourries au pollen.

ZUSAMMENFASSUNG

VERGLEICHSWERTE EINIGER PROTEINE FÜR DAS AUSLÖSEN UND AUFRECHTERHALTEN DER BRUTTÄTIGKEIT BEI GEKÄFIGTEN HONIGBIENEN (*Apis mellifica* L.)

Futter, das als Pollenersatz für *Apis mellifica* L. dienen könnte, wurde aufgrund chemischer Analysen der wesentlichen Nährstoffe von Bienenbrot und Pollen, der aus sechs verschiedenen Orten stammte, hergestellt. Die Nahrung wurde an gekäfigte Völkchen mit frisch geschlüpften Bienen verfüttert und deren Fähigkeit, Brut aufzuziehen, untersucht. Mit den folgenden, 1973 überprüften verschiedenen Futtergaben war es möglich, die Bruttätigkeit aufrechtzuerhalten: Wheat[®], Milchsäure-Wheat, Sojabohnen-Eiweiss, Haferflocken, Quik-Gro[®], Pollen und Bienenbrot.

1974 zogen Bienen, die mit Pollen, Wheat, Bienen-Wheat, mit *Lactobacillus* fermentierter Molke, mit *Saccharomyces* fermentierter Molke, Baumwollkuchenmehl, Einweichwaaser von Mais, Sojamehl und Weizenkeimen gefüttert wurden, erfolgreich Brut auf. Während der drei Jahre dauernden Versuche zogen mit Wheat gefütterte Bienen ebensoviel Brut bis zum Verdeckeln auf wie mit Pollen ernährte Bienen. Der Verbrauch aller Futtermittel, ausgenommen die, die Wheat enthielten, war gering im Vergleich zum Pollen- und Bienenbrot-Verzehr.

Yeaco 20[®], ein Hefeprodukt, wurde von den überprüften Mitteln am wirksamsten genutzt. Zusätzliche Untersuchungen zeigten, dass Wheat-Futter durch Zusatz von Extrakten aus Mais-Gluten anziehender für die Bienen gemacht werden kann. Bienen, denen mit 10 % Wheat-10 % Mais-Gluten angereichertes Futter geboten wurde, zogen bedeutend mehr Brut auf als Bienen, die Wheatkost erhielten, die mit nur 1 % oder 5 % Mais-Gluten angereichert war oder als Bienen, die mit Pollen gefüttert wurden.

REFERENCES

- HAYDAK M. H., 1936. — Value of foods other than pollen in nutrition of the honey bee. *J. Econ. Entomol.*, **29**, 870-6.
- HAYDAK M. H., 1937. — Further contribution to the study of pollen substitutes. *J. Econ. Entomol.*, **30** : 637-42.
- HAYDAK M. H., DIETZ A., 1965. — Influence of the diet on the development and brood rearing of honey bees. *Proc. XV Intl. Beekeeping Congr., Bucharest*, 1965, 1-6.
- MAURIZIO A., 1951. — Tests of pollen substitutes. *Schweiz. Bienenztg.* **74**, 111-8.
- STANDIFER L. N., HAYDAK M. H., MILLS J. P., LEVIN M. D., 1973. — Value of three protein rations in maintaining honey bee colonies in outdoor flight cages. *J. Apic. Res.*, **12**, 137-43.
- STANDIFER L. N., MACDONALD R. H., LEVIN M. D., 1970. — Influence of the quality of protein in pollens and of a pollen substitute on the development of the hypopharyngeal glands of honey bees. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Amer.*, **63**, 909-10.
- STANDIFER L. N., MCCAUGHEY, W. F., TOOD F. E., KEMMERER A. R., 1960. — Relative availability of various proteins to the honey bee. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Amer.*, **53**, 618-25.
- WAHL O., 1954. — Investigations on the food value of pollen substitutes for the honey bee. *Insectes Soc.*, **1**, 285-92.
- WAHL O., 1963. — Comparative investigations on the food value of pollen, yeast, soybean flour and dried milk for the honeybee (*Apis mellifera* L.). *Z. Bienenforsch.*, **6**, 209-280.