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SUMMARY

This review discusses rates of active membrane transport of sugars in nectar secretion in comparison with sugar fluxes in other plant systems. Possible mechanisms of sugar membrane transport are evaluated, namely vectorial group transfer reactions mediated by membrane bound phosphatases and chemi-osmotic coupling to $H^+$-electro-chemical gradients. The chemical composition of nectar is discussed in relation to the secretion mechanism leading to specific sugar elimination and also in relation to the possible evolution of specific amino acid secretion mechanisms.

1. — SPECIFIC AND ACTIVE TRANSPORT OF SUGAR, AN OLD AND NEW PROBLEM IN EXPLANATION OF NECTAR SECRETION AND NECTAR COMPOSITION

The sensitivity of nectar secretion to metabolic inhibitors strongly suggests an active membrane transport of sugars. Concentration gradients between the phloem sap — i.e. the source of nectar — and the nectar itself rarely have been clearly measured. A consideration of average values suggests, however, that at least in some cases uphill transport must be involved when sugar moves from the phloem via the parenchyma and the secretory cells of nectary glands into the nectar: Average sugar concentrations in the phloem sap range
from 18 to 30 %, those in the nectar from 6 to 60 % or more (e.g. 58-92 % in nectar of dwarf mistletoe *Arceuthobium* spp. : BREWER et al., 1974). (See review of LÜTTEGE and SCHNEPF, 1976, for further references.)

The role of driving forces other than active membrane transport is limited. Invertases in the nectar or in the outer space of the gland surface (ZIMMERMANN, 1954) can split sucrose into its hexose monomers. This could serve the maintenance of a sucrose concentration gradient driving passive sucrose diffusion. However, invertases would not be sensitive to the energy transfer inhibitors which block nectar secretion. Conversely, sugar metabolism within the gland tissue could be involved as a driving force of secretion. Sugars indeed are subject to metabolic modification as they pass through the secretory tissue. But this is limited. ZIEGLER (1965) has reported that *Abutilon* nectaries supplied with $^{14}$C-sucrose labeled in the glucose moiety but not in the fructose moiety secreted nectar with 28 % of the label transferred to the carbon skeleton of fructose, the rest remaining in glucose.

Thus the active membrane transport remains the major driving force. Thermodynamically it is sufficient when this occurs at one site, i.e. at one particular membrane in the tissue, with subsequent passive down-hill diffusion. Of course, thermodynamic principle does not rule out that active uphill transport occurs at more than one site in the system. SCHNEPF has pointed out in the preceding review of this symposium that symplastic coupling within the system of sieve tubes, companion cells, parenchyma cells, and secretory cells of nectary glands allows many possible sites for active membrane transport: phloem unloading, passage of stalk cells (e.g. in *Abutilon*), elimination from secretory cells (e.g. trichomes in *Abutilon*), or the loading of ER and secretion vesicles if granulocrine secretion occurs.

Phloem unloading presumably is not the decisive step. *Abutilon* nectaries in situ secrete 7 times more sugar than originally present in the gland tissue at the onset of secretory activity (FINDLAY et al., 1971). However, isolated nectaries also can secrete actively.

In *Abutilon* due to the special structure of the secretory trichome all sugar secreted has to pass the basal stalk cell (GUNNING and HUGHES, 1976). This allows evaluations of membrane flux rates on the basis of various assumptions on the secretory surface (fig. 1). Enormous rates of membrane flux would result from the observed nectar volume and concentration if active membrane transport occurred only in the stalk cell or in the cap cell of the trichomes. Rates comparable to those observed in other plant systems (table 1) are obtained when it is assumed that the stalk cell is passed by symplastic transport and subsequently the membrane surfaces of all trichome cells contribute to active membrane transport. There are no cell wall protuberances in the trichome cells of *Abutilon* which would further increase the
plasmalemma surface and thus reduce the calculated apparent flux rate. In fact, the special structure of the trichome with many small cells leading to a large total cell surface may be an alternative to increasing the surface by formation of cell wall protuberances. An involvement of a large endomembrane surface (e.g. ER) with subsequent granulocrine secretion (see preceding review by Schneuff) is, of course, not ruled out by the above consideration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ABUTILON sucrose secretion</th>
<th>assumption in estimation of active secretory membrane surface</th>
<th>rate of membrane transport $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>average nectar volume per trichome: 30 $\mu$m$^3$ s$^{-1}$ (range 8–80)</td>
<td>distal wall of stalk cell</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nectar concentration 0.4 M (observed up to 0.6 M)</td>
<td>spherical apical cell</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total trichome plus apoplastic route to apex</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>all surfaces of trichome cells plus apoplastic route</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. — Rates of sugar membrane fluxes in Abutilon nectaries on the basis of various assumptions on active secretory membrane surface (bold lines in sketches of trichomes). (After data from Findlay and Mercer, 1971; Gunning and Hughes, 1976.)

Flux rates are concentration dependent (table 1). A sugar concentration of 18–30 % in the phloem sap (see above) would be equivalent to a supply of an about 0.5–1 M sucrose solution to the nectary glands. Even on the basis of such a very high concentration membrane fluxes like 65 or 110 $\mu$mole m$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ appear exorbitant and it is most likely that in Abutilon the entire surface of all trichome cells is active in secretion as assumed in the bottom part of Figure 1. This is supported by cytological and ultrastructural evidence (Gunning and Hughes, 1976).

2. — MEMBRANE TRANSPORT OF SUGARS

Two basically different mechanisms may serve active membrane transport of sugars. In nectar research one of them received great attention in the past, the other one has been barely considered hitherto.
### Table 1. — Membrane flux rates of sugar transport in various plant systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object</th>
<th>sugar transported</th>
<th>flux rate $\mu$moles m$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>concentration from which transport occurs mM</th>
<th>references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Abutilon</em> nectary trichomes</td>
<td>sucrose</td>
<td>between 0.6 and 110$^a$</td>
<td>500-1000$^b$</td>
<td>FINDLAY and MERCER, 1971; GUNNING and HUGHES, 1976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Vicia faba</em> leaves, phloem loading</td>
<td>sucrose</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>GUNNING et al., 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Allium cepa</em> onion epidermis</td>
<td>glucose</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>STEINBRECHER and LÜTTEGE, 1969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Zea mays</em> seutellum</td>
<td>sucrose</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>$&gt;200^c$</td>
<td>HUMPHREYS, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hydrodictyon africanum</em></td>
<td>glucose</td>
<td>0.007, 0.028, 0.042</td>
<td>0.1, 1, 10</td>
<td>RAVEN, 1976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nitella flexilis</em></td>
<td>glucose</td>
<td>0.01, 0.06</td>
<td>1, 8</td>
<td>WALLEN, 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sucrose</td>
<td>0.005, 0.03</td>
<td>1, 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nitella translucens</em></td>
<td>glucose</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>SMITH, 1967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chlorella vulgaris</em></td>
<td>6-deoxyglucose</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>TANNER et al., 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Neurospora crassa</em></td>
<td>glucose</td>
<td>0.5$^d$</td>
<td>$1^d$</td>
<td>SLAYMAN and SLAYMAN, 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</em></td>
<td>glucose</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$^c$</td>
<td>KOTyk, 1967, and personal communication</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

a) Taken from Figure 1.
b) Assumed on the basis of a $18 - 30 \%$ sucrose solution supplied to the nectary gland via the phloem.
c) The rate given represents a $V_{\text{max}}$ value of the transport system.
d) At the extreme and at high sugar concentration an occasionally observed maximum of total glucose influx may be $2 \mu$moles m$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ (C. SLAYMAN, personal communication).
2.1. — *Nectary phosphatases: Vectorial group transfer reactions mediated by membrane-bound enzyme systems?*

Nectary tissues stain heavily in the histochemical Gomori-test of acid phosphatases. This staining was considered as a pre-requisite for genuine secretory nectary gland tissue (ZIEGLER, 1956; FREY-WYSSLING and HäUSER-MANN, 1960). Phosphatases are often found in particular at or in the vicinity of the plasmalemma (FIGIER 1968, 1972). These observations have led to speculations on a possible role of phosphorylations and dephosphorylations during active membrane passage of sugars in nectar secretion (e.g. LÜTTEGE, 1966). In membrane vesicles (ghosts) of facultatively anaerobic bacteria KABACK and coworkers (KABACK, 1970 a, b) indeed could demonstrate a molecular mechanism by which glucose is transported across a membrane with a vectorial group transfer reaction involving phosphorylation via PEP (= phosphoenol pyruvate). The principle of such vectorial group transfer reactions leading to membrane transport is shown in Figure 2. This graph is based on investigations of sucrose transport in sugar-cane and in the maize scutellum (GLASZIOU and GAYLER, 1972; HUMPHREYS, 1973; see review by LÜTTEGE and SCHNEPF, 1976).

![Figure 2](image)

**Fig. 2.** — Schemes of sucrose transport across a membrane mediated by a membrane phosphatase catalysing « vectorial group transfer ».

It is possible that a mechanism of sugar passage across membranes of the kind shown in Figure 2 is also operative in nectar secretion. The alternative shown at the lower left of Figure 2 appears to be ruled out by the very low
phosphate/sugar ratios observed in nectar (table 2). However, if reabsorption of phosphate occurs into the nectary cells during secretion this alternative remains a possibility. In any case, the alternative mechanism of the lower right of Figure 2 could be operative.

The problem which remains and which makes these considerations speculations only, concerns the specific cytological localization and the chemical specificity of the dephosphorylating and phosphorylating enzymes. P-transfer enzymes are numerous and ubiquitous at cellular membranes in plant cells in general and in nectary gland cells in particular. HEINRICH (1975) demonstrated histochemically the presence of β-glycerophosphatase, ATPase, nucleoside diphosphatase, and glucose-6-phosphatase at various membranes and in various organelles of Aloe nectaries. Quantitatively it appeared that enzyme activities were particularly high at the ER membranes and frequently absent at the plasmalemma. It remains very difficult to allocate a specific enzyme to a specific transport mechanism at a specific site in nectar secretion.
2.2. — Chemi-osmotic coupling to H⁺-electro-chemical gradients?

The work of Komor and Tanner (1971) shows that sugar molecules need not be phosphorylated during active membrane transport in Chlorella. As depicted in Figure 3 ATP hydrolysis can establish H⁺ electro-chemical gradients across membranes. By H⁺-sugar cotransport or OH⁻-sugar countertransport this gradient can be utilized for active membrane passage of sugar. Several predictions of this model can be tested experimentally. Firstly, the ATPase shown in Figure 3 acts as an electrogenic H⁺ ion pump. The electrical membrane potential should be depolarized when the pump is not operating. A reversible depolarization of membrane potential by inhibitors of metabolic energy turnover stopping the energy supply for the pump has been now demonstrated for many higher plant cells (e.g. Higginbotham et al., 1970; Anderson et al., 1974; Fischer et al., 1976). Secondly, as active sugar transport sets in the electro-chemical gradient driving it should be reduced at least initially, i.e. before increased metabolic energy supply for the pump begins to restore the gradient. A depolarization of membrane potential or a change of pH gradients or both has been observed in bacteria, in yeast, in the fungus Neurospora crassa and in the unicellular alga Chlorella when transportable sugar was added (West, 1970; West and Mitchell, 1972, 1973; Seaston et al., 1973;
SLAYMAN and SLAYMAN, 1974; KOMOR, 1973; KOMOR and TANNER, 1974 a, b; TANNER et al., 1974, 1977). Evidence that a similar mechanism is also operative in higher plants was obtained by JONES et al. (1975) with root cells of Impatiens balsamina. The membrane potential of these cells was depolarized significantly after the addition of glucose but not after the addition of the non-transported C₆-alcohol sorbitol (fig. 4). More recently indications for a

![Graph](image)

**Fig. 4. — Depolarization of the membrane potential of Impatiens balsamina root cells by the addition of 50 mM glucose but not 50 mM sorbitol. (After Jones et al., 1975.)**

sucrose-H⁺ cotransport have been observed in work with Ricinus cotyledons (Hutchings, 1976; see also « conclusions » in TANNER et al., 1977) and during phloem loading from the hollow petioles of adult Ricinus leaves (MALEK and BAKER, 1977). In our own laboratory Novacky and Ullrich-Eberius found correlations between membrane potential and active glucose transport in fronds of the angiosperm water plant Lemna gibba (fig. 5). We have also attempted to find evidence for H⁺-sucrose cotransport during nectar secretion in Abutilon. Secretory cells are very small, and nobody sofar has reported insertions of glass microelectrodes and intracellular measurements of membrane potential. In our experiments we pipetted 180 µl of 0.1 mM CaSO₄ solution of pH 6.5 into the calyx of Abutilon flowers where the corolla was removed. We followed the pH change in the non-buffered CaSO₄-solution over 24 h using Transidyne pH microelectrodes (No. 801). Sugar secreted into the CaSO₄-solution by the nectaries on the bottom of the calyx was measured colorimetrically, corrections for volume changes of the CaSO₄-solution during the experiment were made, and total sugar secreted was related to the pH-change of the solution. In a few experiments we obtained good correlations between sugar secretion and apparent H⁺ secretion, allowing speculations on operation of a sugar-H⁺-cotransport mechanism during nectar secretion (e.g. fig. 6). In other experiments we failed to reproduce this result. The reasons for this are not clear to us at present. They may be given by the complexity of the
nectar secretion system. Furthermore, in order to obtain good results, *Lemna* had to be starved in darkness and with no sugar in the medium for several days before the experiments (fig. 5). Such an approach is not possible in nectar secretion studies with *Abutilon* flowers. Nevertheless, the results obtained so far (fig. 6) encourage to continue this work.
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**Fig. 5.** — Depolarization of the membrane potential of dark grown sugar starved *Lemna gibba* (strain G1) cells by 20 mM glucose but not 20 mM mannitol. Spontaneous recovery of membrane potential and recovery after glucose removal are more pronounced in the light than in the dark, suggesting additional photosynthetic energy supply. (Unpublished results of Novacky and Ulrich-Eberius.)
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**Fig. 6.** — Correlation between sugar secretion and apparent H⁺ secretion by *Abutilon* nectaries. (From unpublished work of Novacky and Lütte.)
3. — THE CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF NECTAR

The nectar chemically is a very specific secretion. Sugars make up about 90 % of the total dry weight as seen in the following tabulation of an analysis of banana nectar (Musa sapientum) (Lütte, 1961):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>water</td>
<td>68 %</td>
<td>amino acids</td>
<td>0.014 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glucose</td>
<td>8 %</td>
<td>proteins</td>
<td>0.28-0.59 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fructose</td>
<td>8 %</td>
<td>inorganic phosphate</td>
<td>0.032 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sucrose</td>
<td>16 %</td>
<td>organic phosphate</td>
<td>0.013 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is a large spectrum of additional compounds, but these are present in the nectar only in minor quantities (table 2).

3.1. — Specific sugar elimination in relation to the secretion mechanism

The rather specific sugar elimination most easily can be explained by specific and active transport of sugar at one of the membrane sites in the secretory gland system as discussed in section 2 and by Schneff in the preceding review. Additional compounds associated with sugar in the nectar might be lost from the gland tissue only by passive diffusion. This is supported by an old finding of the author, i.e. that the amount of «associate compounds» in the nectar, such as aminoacids, depends on structural organization of the nectary gland. Primitive glands where the nectar passes through modified stomata or where lysigenous secretion occurs have much lower sugar/amino acid ratios in their nectar than highly developed glands with distinct secretory epithelia, trichomes or gland hairs (Lütte, 1961).

If different secretory mechanisms are assumed to operate, other possibilities must be envisaged to explain specificity of nectar composition. When nectar is secreted by a pressure flow mechanism (i.e. a volume pressed out by turgor) specific membrane transport mechanisms must operate for reabsorption of non-sugar compounds (Zieglere, 1965). The same could be the case in granulocrine secretion when the secretion vesicles carry bulk cytoplasmic contents. Alternatively, the solution in the secretion vesicles might already have a specific composition as a result of specific sugar transport mechanisms in the endomembrane system before secretion, or due to an adjustment of prenectar composition during compartmental symplastic transport as suggested by Gunning and Hughes (1976).

3.2. — Evolution of specific amino acid secretion mechanisms?

A differentiation of secretory tissues which is obviously anatomically advanced leads to high sugar/amino acid ratios in the nectar, i.e. relatively
low amounts of amino acids (see above; Lüttge, 1961). This somehow implies an evolution from primitive to advanced nectaries and from high amounts to low amounts of amino acids in the nectar. Ferns, e.g. Pteridium aquilinum and Photinopteris speciosa, are probably the evolutionarily lowest plants having nectaries (Lüttge, 1960, 1961). These nectaries apparently are anatomically primitive. In P. aquilinum and Ph. speciosa secretion occurs via modified stomata, and in P. aquilinum there is a high amount of amino acids in the nectar. An evolution towards more specialized nectaries and low diffusive and passive losses of amino acids into the nectar would appear plausible on the basis of the sap valve hypothesis. This hypothesis attempts a teleological explanation of the usefulness of nectar secretion, especially in the many cases of extralfloral nectaries where nectar does not serve pollination. According to this hypothesis secretion serves disposal of surplus sugar from the phloem sap in the vicinity of rapidly developing organs where the relatively low amounts of amino acids and other N-compounds supplied via the phloem are preferentially used for protein synthesis (Ziegler, 1965). A pertinent analog to this is the production of honey dew by phloem feeding aphids. However, the evolutionary aspect of this story is not clear. Although some of the most highly differentiated glands are found in flowers, angiosperms also have anatomically primitive nectar glands particularly as extralfloral nectaries. Furthermore nectaries most likely have evolved polyphyletically. A survey comparing the state of evolutionary advancement of plant species with the anatomical differentiation of their nectaries is not available. It might be rewarding to compile it from the large older literature on nectary gland anatomy.

By contrast to what we would expect on the basis of our comparison of nectary gland anatomy and sugar/amino acid ratios of nectar, Baker and Baker (1973 a, b, 1975, 1976) argue that there is a trend for evolution towards higher amino acid contents. These authors investigated various classes of pollination systems. The lowest amino acid content was found in the nectar of bee flowers. This appeared understandable, since bees also utilize pollen and thus have no problems with nitrogen supply. The highest amino acid contents were observed in nectar of flowers attracting dung flies. Intermediate values were found for butterfly flowers. In the nectars of butterfly flowers there were increasing amounts of amino acids and increasingly complex spectra of various amino acids with increasing evolutionary advancement of the respective plant species. Amino acids may be important in the diet of butterflies. Thus, the development of specific amino acid transport mechanisms in nectar secretion may play a role in co-evolution of such specialized pollinator systems.

In comparison with the anatomical relationship leading to lower amino acid elimination by diffusive loss as structure is advanced, this development
of amino acid secretion presumably would be a more recent evolutionary trend. The finding of Baker and Baker, that amino acid spectra in the nectar of particular plants can be very specific, stresses the suspicion that rather specific transport mechanisms must be involved. Amino acid spectra within plant cells invariably are much less specific and comprise most of the proteino-genous amino acids. Clearly, new work is needed now, in particular on amino acid secretion mechanisms in various nectary glands.
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ZUSAMMENFASSUNG

ZUSAMMENSETZUNG DES NEKTARS UND MEMBRANTRANSPORT VON ZUCKER UND AMINOSÄUREN : EIN BERICH'T ÜBER DEN GEGENWÄRTIGEN STAND DER NEKTARFORSCfIUNG


RÉSUMÉ

COMPOSITION DU NECTAR ET TRANSPORT MEMBRANIQUE DES SUCRES ET DES ACIDES AMINÉS : UNE MISE AU POINT SUR L'ÉTAT ACTUEL DE LA RECHERCHE SUR LE NECTAR

Cette mise au point compare les vitesses de transport membranique actif des sucres au cours de la sécrétion nectarifère avec les flux de sucre dans d'autres systèmes de plantes. Les mécanismes possibles du transport membranique des sucres sont évalués, principalement les réactions de transfert vectoriel de groupe par les phosphatases liées à la membrane et par le couplage chimio-osmotique avec les gradients électrochimiques H⁺. On discute de la composition
chimique du nectar en relation avec le mécanisme de sécrétion qui conduit à l'élimination spécifique de sucres et aussi en relation avec l'évolution possible des mécanismes spécifiques de sécrétion des acides aminés.

REFERENCES


**NOTE ADDED IN PROOF**

After submission of the manuscript three more publications appeared, which demonstrate H⁺-sugar cotransport in angiosperms:


One paper appeared which relates structure of floral nectaries to phylogeny of the order Centrospermales: