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Summary — The volatile compounds released by honeybee queens (\textit{Apis mellifera} L) were trapped from the vapour phase with an absorbent (Tenax TA) and extracted in hexane. Heads and tergites of these queens were extracted in dichloromethane. After gas chromatography, the chromatograms were statistically analyzed and compared. Seventy-three percent of the compounds in head extracts and 56% of tergal compounds were found in the trapped volatile signal. There were, however, substantial quantitative differences between the actual amounts of individual compounds found in the trap and in head and tergite extracts respectively. For example, little (E)-9-oxodec-2-enoic acid (9-ODA), the classical queen substance and predominant compound of head extracts, was found in the vapour phase. Tergal signals and mandibular gland secretions contributed equally to the total pheromone blend in the volatile signal. Furthermore, compounds not present in either the tergal or head extracts were found.
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INTRODUCTION

The pheromones produced by the queen are of crucial importance in the biology of honeybees. Outside the nest cavity some of them function in the vapour phase as a sex attractant during mating (Gary, 1962; Butler and Fairey, 1964) and are important in swarm orientation and stabilization (Avitable \textit{et al.}, 1975; Winston \textit{et al.}, 1982). Inside the colony, workers are most strongly affected by queen pheromones if they have direct contact with the queen (Butler, 1954; Verheijen-Voogd, 1959). The queen pheromones which are distributed in the colony by messenger workers (Velthuis, 1972; Seeley, 1979; Ferguson and Free, 1980) inhibit rearing of new queens (Butler, 1961; Velthuis, 1970) and ovary development in workers (Pain, 1961; Butler and Fairey, 1963; Velthuis, 1972). The classical queen substance, (E)-9-oxodec-2-enoic acid (9ODA) is the major component of the mandibular gland secretions. Highly specific receptor cells in the antennae of workers and drones have been shown to be important for 9ODA perception (Beetsma and Schoonhoven, 1966; Kaisling and Renner, 1968; Adler \textit{et al.}, 1973). Though 9ODA alone releases all typical behavioural responses of drones and workers to...
queen pheromones (Velthuis, 1985), the complete bouquet of all semiochemicals of the mandibular glands proved to be biologically more active than isolated compounds (Slessor et al, 1988). Queen pheromones other than those of the mandibular glands are also important cues for worker honeybees. For example, the tergal pheromones are known to attract drones during mating (Renner and Vierling, 1977).

Though the volatile signal is of crucial importance for the workers whenever direct contact perception is impossible, it has never been chemically analyzed. Concern about the volatile components of pheromonal secretions has frequently been expressed, particular with respect to blend compositions in the vapour phase (Olsson et al, 1983). Compounds which are minor components of extracts could become predominant in the vapour phase if their volatility is high and vice versa. Furthermore, the contribution of other glandular sources such as the tergal glands to the volatile signal seems to be important. In this paper we therefore study how the semiochemicals of the mandibular and the tergal glands contribute to the actual composition of the volatile compounds of honeybee queens in the vapour phase.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Twenty-three honeybee queens (Apis mellifera capensis) were reared using routine bee breeding techniques (Ruttner, 1980). A few days before emergence, the queen cells were introduced into cages with small groups of freshly emerged workers (Apis mellifera scutellata, 100 per cage) all from 1 colony. The cages were kept at 30 °C in the dark with pollen and candy supplied ad libitum. After 8 d the queens are transferred to an extraction apparatus similar to that of Moritz and Crewe (1988b).

All glassware of the extraction apparatus was washed 3 times in hexane and baked in an oven at 350 °C for at least 2 h before use. The queens were placed in 5-ml glass vials with wide air inlets (16 mm diameter), ground glass joints and narrow outlets (1 mm diameter) (fig 1). A glass cartridge filled with activated charcoal was attached to the air inlet, to purify the air.
entering the system. An absorbent cartridge was attached to the outlet. It consisted of a small glass tube (5 mm diameter, 50 mm length) filled with 50 mg Tenax RA. This absorbent consists of a porous polymer based on 2,6-diphenyl-p-phenylene oxide also used as column packing material. The Tenax was kept in place by means of siliconized glass wool plugs. The open end of the cartridge was connected to a suction pump. Air was moved through the system at a rate of 25 ml/min. After 2 h the cartridge was removed, and sealed in a glass vial at -20 °C before further analysis. In spite of the evidence given by Bertsch et al (1975) regarding the capacity of Tenax traps, the possibility of breakthrough of compounds from the trap was checked by placing a second trap after the first and running the system under the same conditions as above. Blank trials (the above system without the queen) were run to control for artifacts due to air or solvent contamination.

After trapping the volatile compounds, the queens were decapitated and single heads were extracted in 1.5 ml dichloromethane. The tergites were carefully removed from the abdomen and also placed in 1.5 ml dichloromethane.

The volatile compounds which were trapped on the Tenax were extracted 3 times with the same volume of 4 ml hexane. The samples were reduced to 1.5 ml with a stream of nitrogen and kept in teflon sealed glass vials.

For gas chromatography all samples were concentrated to 20-μl volume under nitrogen. Samples of 1 μl were coinjected with 0.5 μl hexane as solvent plug via a split-splitless inlet into a capillary gas chromatograph (HP-5890). The apparatus was fitted with a methyl silicone coated fused silica column (25 m length; 0.32 mm diameter; carrier gas H₂ at a flow rate of 1.2 ml/min). The oven temperature was linearly increased at a rate of 6 °C/min from 40 °C to 260 °C. The chromatogram was recorded on line with a computing integrator (HP 3393).

RESULTS

Figure 2 shows 3 typical chromatograms of head, tergite and trap extract. Many of the compounds found in the head and tergite extracts are also found in the trapped volatile signal. The chromatograms of the blank runs and of the breakthrough tests did not show any of the marked peaks. Of the 16 major compounds in the tergite extracts, 10 were found in the Tenax TA trap. Eleven of the 14 head extract compounds could be recovered from the absorbent. Four peaks were found in the traps which did not correspond to any of the peaks in head or tergite extract chromatograms.

Though there are strong qualitative similarities between the volatile trapped compounds and extracts of body parts, there are substantial quantitative differences. Table I shows the relative contribution of each peak to the total volatile bouquet. 9ODA for example, which is the major peak (cf in fig 2) of the head extract, contributes very little to the trapped compounds. Some tergal compounds (eg peaks A and G) contribute much more strongly to the volatile bouquet than 9 ODA does. Furthermore, the 4 long chain acids (hexadecenoic to octadecanoic acid: H, I, J, K) are found in surprisingly high concentrations in the Tenax traps, considering their low volatility. There is no significant correlation between the quantitative composition of extracted head (r = 0.08, ns) and tergites (r = −0.007, ns) and the volatile trapped compounds.

DISCUSSION

In most cases, the trapped volatile signal gives a truer representation of the quantitative relationships of the components of an emitted signal than the analysis of glandular extracts (Shani and Lacey, 1984; Heath et al, 1986). As for other insects, Tenax (Cross, 1980) proved to be an adequate adsorbant for trapping the volatile emission of honeybee queens and comparing it with the secretions of 2 glands. The volatile compounds released by the queen differ in
many aspects from gland extracts (Crewe, 1982; Velthuis, 1985). Many compounds found in head and tergite extracts are present in the trapped compound bouquet, but others are not, and the quantitative composition is completely different. Compounds not found in the extracts were captured in the Tenax trap. These additional compounds are likely to be products of glands not extracted in our procedure, for example, the tarsal Arnhard glands which produce the footprint pheromone (Lensky
and Slabezki, 1981). Clearly, the volatile emission of honeybee queens consists of many compounds which have yet to be biologically tested. This may explain why gland extracts or synthetic pheromone blends have been less active than odours of live queens in bioassays (Moritz and Crewe, 1988a).

The relative contribution of the mandibular gland secretions to the total volatile emission was surprisingly small. 9-Hydroxy-(E)-2-decenoic acid (9HDA, peak g), which is considered to be a crucial mandibular gland secretion and is more volatile than 9ODA, is only a minor component of the volatile signal. Apparently not only the volatility of the compound determines its release into the vapour phase. 9ODA contributes only 6.8% of the compounds to the live queen signal instead of 58.7% to the mandibular gland extract. Nevertheless, 9ODA has been repeatedly shown to be the major biologically active compound in the vapour phase (Avitabile et al, 1975; Moritz and Crewe, 1988a). Apparently it is not the actual concentration in the extracts which tells us about the importance of particular components. A low threshold on the receiver side, for example via highly sensitive specialist receptors, can compensate for low concentrations of semiochemicals as is best documented for Bombyx mori (Butenandt et al, 1959).

The volatile components trapped on Tenax are not composed predominantly of mandibular gland secretions. The contribution of components from the tergites is equally significant. Volatile tergal signals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak</th>
<th>Head extract</th>
<th>Tenax TA trap</th>
<th>Tergite extract</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>2.96 ± 1.23</td>
<td>1.31 ± 0.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5.51 ± 1.57</td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.21</td>
<td>6.38 ± 0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.24</td>
<td>5.55 ± 0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>1.57 ± 0.68</td>
<td>2.36 ± 0.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.75 ± 0.40</td>
<td>3.32 ± 0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.78 ± 0.47</td>
<td>16.22 ± 2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.23</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>1.55 ± 0.41</td>
<td>3.04 ± 0.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e, F</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.04</td>
<td>2.65 ± 0.71</td>
<td>3.50 ± 1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>48.66 ± 6.02</td>
<td>3.41 ± 0.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>11.14 ± 2.41</td>
<td>3.22 ± 0.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4.65 ± 1.14</td>
<td>3.38 ± 1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h, H</td>
<td>2.72 ± 0.53</td>
<td>5.51 ± 1.84</td>
<td>8.89 ± 2.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>17.16 ± 5.79</td>
<td>24.66 ± 6.54</td>
<td>25.76 ± 5.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j, J</td>
<td>2.82 ± 0.67</td>
<td>9.53 ± 3.43</td>
<td>12.87 ± 2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k, K</td>
<td>5.22 ± 2.81</td>
<td>7.30 ± 3.62</td>
<td>8.43 ± 1.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not trapped</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
are important for retinue behaviour, mating (Velthuis, 1985) and queen recognition (Moritz and Crewe, 1988b). Because of the multiple functions of the tergal semiochemicals, there is a demand for more research on these signals and those substances present in the volatile emission but not secreted by either the tergal or the mandibular glands.

We suggest that in future experiments with queen equivalents of synthetic pheromones, the signal composition in the vapour phase rather than that of gland extracts should be used. We could not find any quantitative correlation between the compound bouquet of gland extracts and the volatile emission of live queens. However, only the latter represents the actual biologically active semiochemical blend under many natural conditions. Our results support the hypothesis of Velthuis (1988) that the release of semiochemicals into the vapour phase is not simply a function of their volatility, but the texture and chemistry of the body surface is crucial for the release of pheromones. Active cuticular transport of queen pheromones, as shown by Butler et al (1974) strongly affects the release of semiochemicals. We therefore conclude that the interpretation of experiments, in which gland extract equivalents on small pieces of glass or filter paper are presented to workers as "pseudoqueens", should be made with caution.
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*Apis mellifera* / médiateur chimique / substance royale / glande tergale / glande mandibulaire / composé volatil

Extrakte wurden gaschromatographisch analysiert. 73% der Substanzen, die in Kopfextrakten gefunden wurden und 56% der tergalen Substanzen konnten im volatilen Signal wiedergefunden werden (Abb 2). Es ergaben sich jedoch erhebliche quantitative Veränderungen in der Zusammensetzung des volatilen Signals im Vergleich zu Kopf- und Tergitextrakten. So wurde zB 9-Oxodecensäure in wesentlich schwächeren relativen Konzentrationen (Anteil am Gesamtsignal) als in Kopfextrakten gefunden. Stoffe der Tergitextrakte trugen gleich stark zur Zusammensetzung des volatilen Signales bei wie die Sekrete der Mandibeldrüsen. Zudem wurden Stoffe in der Falle absorbiert, die weder in Tergit- noch in Kopfextrakten nachzuweisen waren.

Bienenkönigin / volatiler Stoff / Mandibeldrüse / Taschendrüse
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