Measuring the cost of worker reproduction in honeybees: work tempo in an ‘anarchic’ line
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Abstract – Worker reproduction is extremely rare in queen-right honey bee (Apis mellifera) colonies, despite the fact that workers are capable of laying eggs and can potentially increase their direct fitness by doing so. Factors that tip selective forces in favour of functional worker sterility may be related to colony-level costs of worker reproduction. We examined one possible cost by comparing work rates of ‘anarchist’ (a selected line showing high rates of worker reproduction) and wild-type honey bees fostered into anarchic and wild-type host colonies. We observed a lower work rate among anarchist workers compared to wild-type workers. The difference was small but significant and likely contributes to the reduced viability of anarchic colonies. This colony-level cost of anarchistic behaviour counterbalances the increased personal fitness of anarchist bees and partly explains the extreme rarity of anarchic honey bee colonies.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In honey bee (Apis mellifera L.) colonies the queen is usually the sole female reproductive and her thousands of daughters (the workers) are functionally sterile. Should a colony lose its queen workers are able to change their reproductive status. In queenless colonies some workers undergo ovary activation and worker-laid eggs are observed in large numbers (Ruttner and Hesse, 1981; Page and Erickson, 1988). A consequence of the haplodiploid sex determination system of the honey bee is that eggs laid by the unmated workers always develop into males (drones).

Even though workers are physiologically capable of reproducing, worker derived drones are extremely rare in queenright A. mellifera colonies. Only one worker in 10 000 has full-sized eggs in her ovaries, showing that very few workers are capable of laying eggs (Ratnieks, 1993; Visscher, 1996). These few workers can contribute about 7% of the total male eggs in a colony (Visscher, 1996), but most worker-laid drone eggs are eaten by other workers (a phenomenon known as worker policing, Ratnieks and Visscher, 1989). Consequently very few worker-laid eggs develop, so that only about 0.1% of a colony’s males are workers’ sons (Visscher, 1989; Ratnieks 1993; Visscher, 1996).

Evolutionary theory predicts that if a honey bee worker could circumvent the mechanisms that usually prevent worker reproduction she would benefit from vastly increased personal fitness (Hamilton, 1972). Such ‘cheating’ by workers does occur, and in some, very rare, colonies worker reproduction is observed at high frequency (Oldroyd et al., 1994). In 1995 Oldroyd identified a queenright colony of bees in which there was extensive worker reproduction (Montague and Oldroyd, 1998). We have line bred this colony to produce a strain of ‘anarchistic’ (AN) bees in which workers contribute a high proportion of male progeny.
reproduce at high frequency even in the presence of the queen (Oldroyd et al., 1999; Oldroyd and Osborne, 1999). Furthermore, the vast majority of the male offspring of these colonies are worker’s sons (Montague and Oldroyd, 1998), indicating that workers not only activate their ovaries, but that levels of policing are lower in AN colonies than in wild-type (WT) colonies (Oldroyd and Ratnieks, 2000).

AN colonies are extremely rare as evidenced by the few the authors have seen despite extensive searches. While it is impossible to say what proportion of natural colonies is anarchistic, it is probably between one per several hundred to several thousand (Barron et al., 2001).

If anarchistic behaviour leads to increased personal fitness, why is it so rare? A possibility is that there are counterbalancing fitness costs associated with anarchy. In this paper we explored a potential cost by examining the relative levels of activity in cohorts of WT and AN workers cross fostered into WT and AN colonies. If AN bees worked less than WT bees there would be selection against anarchy at the colony level to counterbalance the increased personal fitness of the anarchists.

### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

One AN colony (ie from the line of bees selected for worker reproduction by Oldroyd & Osborne, 1999) and one WT colony were each established in two-frame observation hives. Each colony contained approximately 2000 bees and a laying queen. The colonies were matched as closely as possible for population, brood area and food resources. These observation hive colonies served as host colonies for our experiments.

Each host colony received 250 WT and 250 AN day-old workers, who were the subjects of the behavioural observations. These focal bees were individually marked using a combination of numbered marking disks (Opalithplättchen, Graz) on the thorax and coloured paints (Posca Poster Pens, Mitsubishi Pencil Co.) on the abdomen. The paint dot on the abdomen allowed identification of marked workers even if their head and thorax was inserted into a cell of the comb. Focal bees were taken from different source colonies than the host colonies. This experiment was duplicated. The first replicate was established on October 15, 2000 and the second on November 10, 2000.

Each colony was observed for two hours daily (replicate 1: October 16-30, 2000, replicate 2 November 11-26, 2000). The order in which the two colonies of each pair were observed was assigned randomly so as to avoid any temporal effects on behaviour. Observations on each colony were conducted by randomly sampling one of 360 2.5-cm$^2$ squares marked on the windows of the observation hives. The behaviour of any marked bee observed within the focal square was recorded. Focal bees were observed for one minute and if, during that time, they engaged in any of the tasks defined in Table I they were classified as ‘active’. When the same marked bee was observed twice, both

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Behaviour</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cell cleaning</td>
<td>The focal worker was observed placing her head in a cell or picking at cell edges with her mandibles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queen and brood care</td>
<td>The worker attended the queen or placed her head in a cell containing an egg or larvae. Capping of larva cells was also included in this behaviour as were antennating and mandibulating (Visscher and Dukas, 1995) brood cell caps.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food storage</td>
<td>The worker was observed placing her head in a cell containing nectar or pollen. This category also included trophallaxis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nest maintenance</td>
<td>The worker was observed building cells (picking at wax with mandibles). This category also included wood smoothing (worker moves mouthparts back and forwards over wooden parts of the frame) and hive ventilation, via fanning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social interactions</td>
<td>The worker was observed in antennal contact with another bee or in an act of grooming with another bee. Grooming was classified as one worker picking at another with her mandibles or rubbing her proboscis on another worker.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inactive</td>
<td>The worker was motionless or walking but performed none of the above tasks within the interval that it was followed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I. Categories and descriptions of worker behaviour.
3. RESULTS

Our analysis compared the numbers of bees scored as active and inactive in the AN and WT colonies (Fig. 1). Each day was analysed separately as a 2 × 2 contingency table and the distribution of active and inactive bees in WT and AN colonies was compared with a G-test.

As Figure 1 shows we frequently observed more activity among WT bees than AN bees in both the WT and AN host colonies, but this was rarely significant when analysing each day separately. Considering the conservative nature of the G-test and that the sample sizes of each of our daily comparisons was quite low (mean sample size < 30 bees per colony) this test would have insufficient statistical power to detect a subtle difference between the two bee genotypes.

To increase the power of our statistical analysis we determined an overall estimate of the significance of the difference in activity between the two genotypes of focal bees by summing the G test statistics for each of the 14 days of observation for each colony and obtaining the associated $P$ value from tables at 13 degrees of freedom. This is a valid statistical method for pooling data (Robinson et al., 1994) and greatly improved the power of our test. Results from the daily comparisons and overall comparisons are summarised in Table II. These data show a small but detectable decrease in activity in AN workers compared to WT in both AN and WT host colonies.

4. DISCUSSION

Slightly fewer AN workers were observed actively engaged in tasks compared to WT bees in both AN and WT host colonies. The reduced work rate by AN workers is a small but significant component of the colony-level fitness costs of anarchistic behaviour.

Despite recent additions of new genetic material, our anarchistic line is probably more inbred than typical wild-type bees. Inbreeding may be associated with reductions in activity levels in workers, and potentially, the effects we observed could be due to inbreeding effects alone. However, the main effect of inbreeding in honey bees is reduced brood viability at the
sex locus (Page and Marks, 1982), and this effect was unimportant in these experiments on a fixed number of adult workers.

Reduced work rates in reproductively active worker honey bees have been observed in other contexts. When a WT honeybee colony is both queenless and broodless, many workers activate their ovaries and begin reproducing. Several of these workers may develop into ‘false queens’ (Sakagami, 1958). These individuals have queen-like mandibular pheromone secretions (Plettner et al., 1993), attract a retinue and adopt many of the behavioural characteristics of queens (Sakagami, 1958). They perform none of the workers’ usual tasks. The AN bees differ from false queens in that AN workers have never been observed with a retinue, and egg-laying AN workers have a normal worker behavioural repertoire (Oldroyd et al., 1999), but are slightly less active than WT workers.

A relationship between worker reproductive status and worker activity is also observed in the cape honey bee, A. m. capensis of South Africa. Hillesheim et al. (1989) classified workers of the cape honey bee, into two behavioural morphs. Dominant workers performed very little work, had more developed ovaries and produced a more queen-like pheromonal blend compared to ‘subordinate’ workers (Hillesheim et al., 1989). When queenless, dominant workers were more likely to activate their ovaries and lay eggs than subordinate workers, but colonies comprised entirely of subordinate workers out-performed colonies comprised entirely of dominant workers for important fitness correlates like brood rearing, comb building and food hoarding. Mixed colonies were intermediate between exclusively dominant and exclusively subordinate colonies (Hillesheim et al., 1989).

In the cape honey bee the trade-off between individual fitness (dominant workers are more likely to reproduce directly via egg laying Moritz and Hillesheim, 1989; Moritz et al., 1996) and colony-level fitness (colonies of subordinate workers are presumably more likely to produce swarms and queen-produced males) is clear. Similarly while AN A. mellifera bees are more likely to reproduce than WT bees, AN colonies are far less successful. The difference in work rates between AN and WT workers is likely part of this trade off, but the difference is so slight it is unlikely to be the whole story. Further fitness costs associated with the rearing of large numbers of drones in worker cells (Barron et al., 2001), which imposes a heavy demand on the colonies resources and further reduces the numbers of workers present in these colonies, probably constitute other important colony level fitness costs of anarchistic behaviour.
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### Résumé – Mesure du coût de la reproduction des ouvrières chez l’Abeille domestique : le rythme de travail chez une lignée « anarchique ».

La reproduction des ouvrières est un fait extrêmement rare dans les colonies d’abeilles domestiques (Apis mellifera L.) qui possèdent une reine, bien que les ouvrières soient capables de pondre des œufs. Habituellement les ouvrières s’imposent mutuellement leur stérilité en mangeant les œufs des autres ouvrières, mais il existe de rares colonies « anarchiques » dans lesquelles une large proportion de mâles proviennent d’œufs pondus par les ouvrières. L’accroissement évident de la valeur adaptative (fitness)
indi individualle für die Ouvrières d’une part et l’extrême raréité der colonies anarchiques d’autre part laissent penser que le comportement anarchique doit être lié à des inconvénients (coûts) importants. L’un des moyens pour que la reproduction des ouvrières impose un coût de la fitness au niveau de la colonie serait que les ouvrières qui se reproduisent apportent une contribution moindre à la colonie que les ouvrières normales. Nous avons comparé les niveaux d’activité d’ouvrières de type sauvage (WT), ou normales, et d’ouvrières anarchiques (AN) pour mieux comprendre les forces d’évolution qui maintiennent la raréité de la reproduction des ouvrières. Les ouvrières AN ont été obtenues à partir d’une lignée sélectionnée pour la reproduction des ouvrières. Des ouvrières AN et WT ont été marquées à 1 j et introduites dans des colonies hôtes AN ou WT installées dans des ruches d’observation. Les colonies ont été observées tous les jours et le comportement des abeilles rencontrées dans un des carrés de 2,5 cm² a été classé selon les catégories du tableau I. Si au bout d’une minute l’abeille observée n’avait accompli aucune des tâches répertoriées, elle était classée comme inactive. Les ouvrières AN ont été légèrement moins actives que les WT, aussi bien dans les colonies AN que dans les WT (Fig. 1). Nous en concluons que l’intensité plus faible du travail chez les ouvrières AN est un élément petit mais significatif des coûts de la fitness au niveau de la colonie.
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