Contact and oral toxicity to honey bees (*Apis mellifera*) of agents registered for use for sweet corn insect control in Ontario, Canada
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Abstract – Assays were conducted to compare direct and residual contact and oral toxicities to honey bees of sweet corn insecticides and of Bt-sweet corn. Direct contact assays focusing on LC\textsubscript{50} determined that technical grade clothianidin was most toxic, > carbofuran, > imidacloprid = spinosad, > lambda-cyhalothrin, > Bacillus thuringiensis. In residual contact assays, forager age bees were exposed to treated non-transgenic sweet corn tassels. Carbofuran treated tassels caused significant mortality up to 2 and 3 days after treatment (DAT) in 2002 and 2003, respectively. Lambda-cyhalothrin treated tassels had no impact on honey bees in 2002; in 2003, their toxicity was significantly higher than the untreated control tassels for 1 DAT. In both years, spinosad, imidacloprid and clothianidin or Bt-sweet corn tassels had no impact on honey bee mortality. Pollen collected from insecticide field treated corn and fed to honey bees had no impact on mortality.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Sweet corn, *Zea mays* L., is an important field vegetable in Ontario. The European corn borer (ECB), *Ostrinia nubilalis* (Hübner), is the most serious insect pest of sweet corn in North America (Mason et al., 1996). Currently, sweet corn growers rely almost exclusively on foliar insecticide treatments such as carbofuran and lambda-cyhalothrin for ECB control.

Another important pest of sweet corn is the corn flea beetle (CFB), *Chaetocnema pulicaria* Melshheimer, vector of *Erwinia stewartii*, the causal pathogen for the bacterial disease – Stewart’s wilt (Pepper, 1967). Stewart’s wilt has been managed by planting resistant sweet corn varieties. Recently, there has been increasing interest in using sweet corn grown from seed treated with insecticide or Bt-sweet corn to control CFB (Pataky et al., 2000).

Depending on regional conditions, sweet corn can be an attractive pollen source for honey bees. Sweet corn plants can produce in excess of 170 kg of pollen per hectare, making this crop a useful protein source for bees, especially during dry growing seasons, or periods of dearth, when more favorable protein sources may not be available (Nowakowski and Morse, 1982). Foliar applications of carbofuran (FURADAN® 480F) are suspected of causing significant kills of bees foraging in treated sweet corn fields in Ontario (Oliver, 1999).
Imidacloprid (GAUCHO® 480FS), used as a seed treatment for CFB control also has been investigated as a result of concerns raised in Europe and Canada in 1996 regarding possible impact on bees foraging in imidacloprid treated crops (Schmuck et al., 2001; Scott-Dupree and Spivak, 2001). Potential pesticide poisoning from treated sweet corn has long concerned beekeepers in North America. The critical time for ECB control is between late whorl and crop tasseling or silking (Ditman and Lloyd, 1951; Harrison and Press, 1971), a period which encompasses pollen shed. In addition, while incidence of Stewart’s wilt is effectively reduced by controlling CFB with systemic insecticide seed treatments (Munkvold et al., 1996; Pataky et al., 2000; Kuhar et al., 2002), it is possible that residues of those insecticides may be toxic to bees. Improved management practices have minimized insecticide use and increased awareness of the problem. Unfortunately, despite all mitigating efforts, pesticide poisoning from treated sweet corn remains a serious concern for beekeepers.

Replacing foliar applied carbofuran with more selective control agents could effectively reduce bee kills. Recently registered insecticides such as spinosad (SUCCESS®480SC), clothianidin (PONCHO®600F), and sweet corn genetically engineered to express Bacillus thuringiensis Berliner (Bt) endotoxin Cry1AB (ATTRIBUTE™) have been reported to effectively control ECB and CFB (Burkness et al., 2001; Scott-Dupree et al., 2001; Andersch and Schwarz, 2003; Bailey et al., 2005). To investigate the potential risk associated with use of carbofuran, lambda-cyhalothrin, imidacloprid, clothianidin, spinosad and Bt-sweet corn, we assessed the direct, residual contact and oral toxicities of these pest control agents to honey bees.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Direct contact assays

Four honey bee (Apis mellifera L.) colonies containing open mated sister queens (2001, Buckfast, PM10-F1) were established on May 6, 2003 at the Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (AAFC) - Southern Crop Protection and Food Research Centre (SCPFRC), London, Ontario. Colonies were examined for presence of Varroa destructor Anderson and Trueman and Acarapis woodi (Rennie) prior to use and it was determined that the mites were present at very low levels (< 10%). Colonies were free of all other honey bee related bacterial and fungal diseases that can occur in Canada.

To investigate direct contact toxicity of the insecticides, we used technical grade material (95% purity). The pure Bt endotoxin Cry1AB present in Bt-sweet corn was not available so DIEL® 2X DF (Valent BioSciences Canada, Ltd.), the active ingredient of which is Bacillus thuringiensis, subsp. kurstaki (Strain HD-1, 32 000 International Units of potency per mg), was substituted. Test solutions (1.0% w/v) were prepared by dissolving each insecticide in 19:1 acetone:olive oil. With DIEL and spinosad, reverse-osmosis (RO) water was used. Each insecticide was tested at 4–5 concentrations ranging from 0.00008–1.0% solution. Controls were treated with the solvent mixture. Cohorts of 20 forager age (>20 day old) bees were collected from the colonies. The bees were anaesthetized with CO₂ for 3 s, transferred to a 9 cm glass petri dish and placed in a Potter spray tower (Potter, 1952) where they were sprayed with 5 mL aliquots of each insecticide solution as described by Harris and Svec (1969). Treated bees were then divided into 2 groups of 10 and held in waxed paper cups covered with glass lids. Each cup was provided with 2 cotton wicks (2 cm long × 0.9 cm diam.) soaked in 1:1 (v/v) honey:water solution, and a Bee Boost® strip containing 0.4 Queen Mandibular Pheromone (QMP) equivalents, to maintain cohesion of the bees in small clusters. The cups were kept at 27 ± 1 ºC and 65 ± 5% relative humidity (RH) in darkness. The number of dead bees per cage was counted 24 h after exposure. Control mortality never exceeded 10%.

2.2. Residual contact assays with corn tassels

In 2002 and 2003, 10 honey bee colonies containing naturally mated sister queens (2001, Buckfast, PM10-F1) were established at the University of Guelph – Townsend House Bee Research Facility (THBRF), Guelph, Ontario. The colonies had the same health profile as described for those in Section 2.1. Cohorts of 25 pollen-bearing forager age bees were collected from the colony entrance into a 250 mL glass jar attached to a modified Dust Buster® vacuum which was used as an aspirator and were transferred to a 3.75 L glass jar. The opening was covered with a 17 x 17 cm piece of mesh fly screening held in place with an elastic. Pollen shedding test tassels were collected from non-transgenic sweet corn (cultivar (cv.) Precious gem) grown in field trials at the University of Guelph – Cambridge Research Station (CRS) in 2002, and at the AAFC – Delhi Research Farm (DRF), Delhi, Ontario in 2002.
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Table I. Application rates of sweet corn pest management agents tested for residual and oral toxicity to adult honey bees in laboratory bioassays.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Insecticide</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Rate Applied (a.i.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Foliar treatments 2002</strong></td>
<td>carbofuran</td>
<td>FURADAN® 480F</td>
<td>530 g/ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lambda-cyhalothrin</td>
<td>MATADOR™ 120EC</td>
<td>10 g/ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>spinosad</td>
<td>SUCCESS® 480SC</td>
<td>70 g/ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Seed treatment 2002</strong></td>
<td>imidacloprid</td>
<td>GAUCHO® 480FS</td>
<td>1.6 g/kg seed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Foliar treatments 2003</strong></td>
<td>carbofuran</td>
<td>FURADAN® 480F</td>
<td>530 g/ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lambda-cyhalothrin</td>
<td>MATADOR™ 120EC</td>
<td>10 g/ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>spinosad</td>
<td>SUCCESS® 480SC</td>
<td>40 g/ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Seed treatments 2003</strong></td>
<td>imidacloprid</td>
<td>GAUCHO® 480FS</td>
<td>2.5 g/kg seed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>clothianidin</td>
<td>PONCHO® 600F</td>
<td>1.25 mg/kernel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and 2003. This sweet corn had been treated with carbofuran, lambda-cyhalothrin, spinosad, imidacloprid or clothianidin at recommended field rates (Tab. I). Treatment plots at each site were replicated 4 times in a randomized complete block design. Tassels from transgenic (expressing the Cry1Ab Bt-endotoxin (var. BC0801)) sweet corn were collected from an adjacent block. Honey bees were exposed to test tassels collected from non-transgenic sweet corn treated with the foliar insecticides carbofuran, lambda-cyhalothrin and spinosad 12 h prior to treatment (Pre-Trt) and 12 h (Day 1), 36 h (Day 2), and 60 h (Day 3) after treatment. Tassels harvested from non-transgenic sweet corn grown from imidacloprid and clothianidin treated seed and Bt-sweet corn were collected at the start of pollen shed (Day 1) and for 3 consecutive days (Days 2, 3 and 4). The control treatment consisted of tassels collected from untreated non-transgenic sweet corn at the same time that tassels from the foliar insecticide treated sweet corn were collected. Treatments from each collection day were replicated 4 times. The jars were provisioned with a water and carbohydrate solution (1:1 w/v sugar:water) ad libitum via gravity feeders and a Bee Boost® strip containing 0.4 QMP equivalents and were held at 27 ± 1 °C and 65 ± 5% RH in 24 h darkness. The number of dead bees per cage was counted 24 h after exposure. Control mortality never exceeded 10%.

2.3. Oral assays with corn pollen

In 2002 and 2003, frames containing sealed brood were obtained from 10 colonies containing naturally mated sister queens (2001, Buckfast, PM10-F1) maintained at the THBRF. The colonies had the same health profile as described earlier. Frames were incubated at 33 ± 1 °C and 85 ± 5% RH. Cohorts of 20 newly emerged bees (< 24 h old) were collected from frames and placed in wooden cages (11 × 8 × 13 cm) with wire screened bottoms and glass fronts. Pollen was collected from non-transgenic sweet corn (cv. Precious gem) grown in field trials at the CRS in 2002 and at the DRF in 2002 and 2003. This sweet corn had been treated with carbofuran, lambda-cyhalothrin, spinosad, imidacloprid or clothianidin at recommended field rates (Tab. I). To reduce moisture accumulation, test pollen was collected in Showerproof® # 504 tassel bags and transported to the THBRF in Drierite® lined coolers. To remove potential contaminants, test pollen was sieved through # 20, 120 and 240 mesh sieves. Transgenic pollen was collected from an adjacent Bt-sweet corn block. Pollen from sweet corn treated with the foliar insecticides carbofuran, lambda-cyhalothrin and spinosad was collected 12 h prior to treatment (Pre-Trt) and 12 h (Day 1), 36 h (Day 2), and 60 h (Day 3) after treatment. Pollen from sweet corn grown from imidacloprid and clothianidin treated seed and Bt-sweet corn was collected at the start of pollen shed (Day 1) and for 3 consecutive days (Days 2, 3 and 4). The control treatment consisted of pollen collected from untreated non-transgenic sweet corn at the same time that collections were made from the foliar insecticide treatments. Treatments from each collection day were replicated 4 times. Each cage of bees was provisioned and kept as described in Section 2.2.
number of dead bees per cage was counted 24 h after exposure. Control mortality never exceeded 10%.

### 2.4. Residue analysis

In 2003, pollen and plant tissue (anthers) samples were analyzed for residues of the foliar insecticides, carbofuran, lambda-cyhalothrin and spinosad. Samples were collected from non-transgenic sweet corn (cv. Precious gem) grown in field trials at the DRF. To remove potential contaminants and separate pollen and anthers, samples were sieved through # 20, 120 and 240 plastic sieves. Three g samples were placed in amber glass jars and maintained in a freezer at –80 ± 2 °C until shipment to Enviro-Test Laboratories (Edmonton, Alberta) on September 15, in a dry-ice provisioned Styrofoam® cooler, where residue analyses were conducted. Nectar and pollen samples from carbofuran and lambda-cyhalothrin treated sweet corn were extracted by polytron blending with methanol and water, concentrated using a rotovap evaporator and the extract was eluted through a ChemElute (CE 1020) column. The pollen samples were then analyzed by High Performance Liquid Chromatography-Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS). The level of detection for carbofuran and lambda-cyhalothrin in pollen and plant tissue was 0.02 mg/kg. Spinosad samples were analyzed using a standard protocol EPA 8151-GC/MS. The level of detection for spinosyn A, B, K and D in pollen and plant tissue was 0.001 mg/kg.

### 2.5. Data analysis

Mortality data (%) from direct contact assays were corrected for natural mortality using Abbott’s formula (Abbott, 1925). For each insecticide, regression lines, LC50 values, 95% fiducial limits (FL) and \( \chi^2 \) goodness of fit were determined using a log-probit analysis program (S103, Statistical Research Services, AAFC).

Mortality data from residual contact and oral assays were arcsine square root transformed. Statistical significance of differences among treatments was determined using either analysis of variance (ANOVA) and a Fisher’s Protected LSD for mean separation, or Student’s t-test.

### 3. RESULTS

#### 3.1. Direct contact assays

Technical grade clothianidin was most toxic by direct contact > carbofuran > imidacloprid = spinosad > lambda-cyhalothrin > Bt (Tab. II). Clothianidin and carbofuran were significantly more toxic than the other insecticides. Spinosad and imidacloprid were intermediate in toxicity, lambda-cyhalothrin was significantly less toxic than the other chemicals and Bt was nontoxic, even at 1.0% solution (Tab. II).
3.2. Residual contact assays

In 2002, residual contact toxicity to honey bees of carbofuran treated tassels, was significantly higher than that of lambda-cyhalothrin or spinosad treated tassels for up to 2 days after treatment (DAT) (Figs. 1a and 2a). All bees died when exposed to carbofuran treated tassels collected from both field sites 1 DAT. Eighty-eight and 73% of the bees died when exposed to tassels collected 2 DAT from the CRS and the DRF, respectively. Tassels treated with spinosad or lambda-cyhalothrin at both locations, had no impact on honey bee mortality (Figs. 1a and 2a).

There was no significant mortality when honey bees were exposed to tassels collected from plants grown from imidacloprid treated seed or from Bt-sweet corn (Figs. 1b, c and 2b, c).
In 2003, residual contact toxicity to honey bees of tassels collected from non-transgenic sweet corn grown at DRF and treated with carbofuran was significantly higher than that of tassels treated with lambda-cyhalothrin or spinosad for up to 3 DAT (Fig. 3a). All bees died when exposed to carbofuran treated tassels 1 DAT. Ninety-six and 74% of the bees died when exposed to tassels collected 2 and 3 DAT, respectively. Residual contact toxicity of tassels treated with lambda-cyhalothrin was significantly higher 1 DAT (19.3%) than with those treated with spinosad (Fig. 3a), which had no significant impact on honey bee mortality. There was no significant mortality to honey bees when exposed to tassels collected from non-transgenic sweet corn grown from imidacloprid or clothianidin treated seed or from Bt-sweet corn (Fig. 3b, c).

**Figure 2.** Residual contact toxicity to adult forager age honey bees of pollen shedding sweet corn tassels collected at the Delhi Research Farm treated with (a) the foliar insecticides – carbofuran, lambda-cyhalothrin or spinosad; (b) the seed treatment insecticide – imidacloprid; and, (c) Bt sweet corn engineered to express the Bt-endotoxin, Cry1AB (var. BC 0801), 2002. Bars followed by the same letter are not significantly different for any given day as determined by ANOVA and Fisher protected LSD ($P < 0.05$) or a Student’s t-test ($P < 0.05$).
3.3. Oral assays

No significant differences across treatments were apparent when newly emerged honey bees were fed pollen collected for sweet corn exposed to or grown from field applications of the foliar insecticides (carbofuran, lambda-cyhalothrin, spinosad), seed treatments (imidacloprid, clothianidin) or Bt-sweet corn engineered to express the Bt-endotoxin, Cry1AB (var. BC 0801), 2003. Bars followed by the same letter are not significantly different for any given day as determined by ANOVA and Fisher protected LSD ($P < 0.05$) or a Student’s t-test ($P < 0.05$).

3.4. Residue analysis

Plant tissue samples contained higher residues of carbofuran (12 mg/kg) than lambda-cyhalothrin (0.11 mg/kg) or spinosad (0.27 mg/kg). Pollen samples also contained higher residues of carbofuran (1.4 mg/kg) than lambda-cyhalothrin (< 0.03 mg/kg) or spinosad (0.32 mg/kg). With the exception of spinosad, insecticide residues were lower in pollen than in plant tissue (Tab. IV).

4. DISCUSSION

Results of the direct contact assays support findings reported elsewhere (e.g., Atkins et al., 1979; Halsall and Gray, 1998; Mayer et al.,
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1998; Schmuck et al., 2001; Schmuck and Keppler, 2003) that carbofuran, lambda-cyhalothrin, spinosad, or the seed treatment insecticides – imidacloprid or clothianidin, or Bt sweet corn engineered to express the Bt-endotoxin Cry1AB (var. BC 0801), 2002 and 2003.

Table III. Oral toxicity to newly emerged honey bees of non-transgenic sweet corn pollen collected from plants treated with the foliar insecticides – carbofuran, lambda-cyhalothrin or spinosad, or the seed treatment insecticides – imidacloprid or clothianidin, or Bt sweet corn engineered to express the Bt-endotoxin Cry1AB (var. BC 0801), 2002 and 2003.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-Trt1</td>
<td>Day 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carbofuran</td>
<td>1.3a2</td>
<td>1.3a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lambda-cyhalothrin</td>
<td>0.0a</td>
<td>0.0a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spinosad</td>
<td>0.0a</td>
<td>2.5a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>imidacloprid</td>
<td>0.0a</td>
<td>0.0a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clothianidin</td>
<td>–3</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bt-sweet corn</td>
<td>0.0a</td>
<td>0.0a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>untreated</td>
<td>0.0a</td>
<td>0.0a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Pre-Trt equivalent to 12 h prior to applications of foliar insecticides; or the first day of pollen shed for seed-treated and Bt-sweet corn.
2 Means within the same column followed by the same letter are not significantly different as indicated by ANOVA and Fisher protected LSD comparison of means ($P < 0.05$).
3 Toxicity of clothianidin not evaluated in 2002.

Table IV. Residues of carbofuran, lambda-cyhalothrin and spinosad in non-transgenic sweet corn pollen and plant tissue collected from plants treated at the Delhi Research Station, 2003.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insecticide</th>
<th>Plant Tissue (mg/kg)</th>
<th>Pollen (mg/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>carbofuran</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lambda-cyhalothrin</td>
<td>0.11 &lt; 0.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spinosad2</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Comprised of sweet corn anthers.
2 Combination of spinosyns A and D.

Data obtained in the residual contact assays and residue studies demonstrate both the importance of this route of exposure and the marked differences that can occur between pesticides. When applied at recommended applications rates, carbofuran residue on plants tissue was ca. 40–100 × higher than spinosad and lambda-cyhalothrin. Tassels from carbofuran treated sweet corn showed significant biological activity for 2–3 days after treatment. While lambda-cyhalothrin showed only minimal activity and spinosad caused none. Imidacloprid and clothianidin seed treated corn also had no impact. Thus, of five insecticides, all highly toxic by direct contact, only one — carbofuran — demonstrated significant residual contact activity to bees when applied at the registered application rate.

Pollution contamination also could be an important route for honey bee exposure to insecticide residues. Although insecticide residues in the
pollen from the foliar applied insecticides were, with exception of spinosad, from $<\frac{1}{4}$ to $\frac{1}{12}$ those found in plant tissue, the lack of oral toxicity was unexpected since all were toxic to bees in other oral feeding studies (Stoner et al., 1982; Halsall and Gray, 1998; Mayer et al., 1998). This lack of oral toxicity may have been due to the following characteristics of sweet corn and the pollen collection technique. Sweet corn is protected within anthers that extend well outside the plant floret via elongated filaments. A distal pore at the base of each anther allows pollen to escape when shaken by wind or disturbed by insects (Flottum et al., 1983). Honey bees forage for sweet corn pollen by walking along the floret, bumping and moving the anthers and releasing pollen to fall on their bodies (Casteel, 1912). During foraging, pollen, once “protected” by the anther from contamination, comes into contact with pesticide residues on floret surfaces. Our collection technique involved shaking treated sweet corn tassels in bags allowing the pollen to fall freely, providing little opportunity for the pollen sample to become contaminated.

The lack of oral toxicity from imidacloprid and clothianidin seed treated sweet corn was not unexpected, as others have reported that pollen collected from seed treated maize (Schmuck et al., 2001) or imidacloprid seed treated sunflower (Schmuck and Keppeler, 2003) contained residues below concentrations with no-observed effect on honey bees. Compared to the chemicals studied, Bt was unique being the only control agent non-toxic by direct contact to honey bees. Sweet corn genetically engineered to express the Bt endotoxin Cry1AB is as effective for ECB control when used as seed corn treatments (applicator foliar), of the imidaclopride and clothianidin, when used as seed corn treatments for CFB control pose no risk to honey bees foraging on the crop. These findings suggest that a management program for sweet corn insect pests that include these alternative control agents could be implemented to provide more effective IPM with reduced risk to honey bees.
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Zusammenfassung – Kontakt- und orale Aufnahme
toxizität für Honigbienen (Apis mellifera)
gegen Substanzen, die in Ontario, Kanada, für
die Schädlingskontrolle in Süssmais zugelassen
sind. Im Südwesten von Ontario wird im Süssmais-
anbau Carbofuran, lambda-Cyhalothrin oder Saat-
gutbehandlung mit Imidacloprid zur Bekämpfung
des Maiszünslers, Ostrinia nubilalis (Huebner), und
des Maisflöhs, Chaetocnema pulicaria (Melsheme-
er) eingesetzt. Süssmais ist normalerweise für
Honigbienen nicht attraktiv, er kann jedoch in Dür-
reperioden zu einer wichtigen Pollenproteinquelle
werden. Ein und wieder haben Imker in Ontario nen-
nenswerte Bienenvorluste zu beklagen, und zwar
typischerweise in trockenen Jahren und in Zusam-
menhang mit Insektizidspritzungen in Süssmais.
Potentiell selektivere und effektive Bekämpfungsmetho-
den sind seit einiger Zeit in Untersuchung.
Bevor sie jedoch in die Praxis Eingang finden kön-
nen, müssen sie auf Bienenviabilität überprüft
werden. Wir haben Laborsimulationen methodisch
und seien die Kontaktrisiken und Frassgiftwirksam-
keiten von heute zugelassenen Süssmais-Insektiziden
mit denen alternativer Bekämpfungsmethoden vergli-
chen werden können. Die mittlere lethale Konzen-
tration wurde in einem Direktkontakt-Test unter
Verwendung eines Potter-Sprühturms für alle Test-
substanzen ermittelt. Technischer Clothianin-
Wirkstoff zeigte die höchste Toxizität (LC =
0.0002 %), gefolgt von Carbofuran (0.0010 %), Imi-
dacloprid und Spinosad (0.0022 %), lambda-Cya-
halothrin (0.0031 %) und schließlich Bacillus
thuringiensis (1,000 %) (Tab. II). Bis zu 2 Tagen
männlicher Blütenstände von Süssmais mit Car-
bofuran war eine signifikante Mortalität bei Bienen
zu beobachten (Abb. 1, 2 und 3). Mit lambda-Cyha-
lothrin behandelte Süssmais-Blüten zeigten in 2002
keine nachteilige Wirkung auf Honigbienen; in 2003
war jedoch nach einem Tag eine vergleichbare
Mortalität festzustellen (Abb. 1, 2 und 3).

In beiden Versuchsjahren hatte die Spritzung mit
Spinosad, die Beizung mit Imidacloprid bzw. Clo-
thianidin oder die Ausbringung von transgenem
Bt-Süssmais keinen Einfluss auf die Mortalität von
Honigbienen (Abb. 1, 2 und 3). Die Verfütterung von unbekölt Pollen an frisch
geschlüpfte Bienen führte bei keinem der geprüften
Insektizide zu einer erhöhten Mortalität (Tab. III).
Die vorliegenden Versuche zeigen, dass bei korrek-
ter Applikation bzw. Anbau lambda-Cyhalothrin,
Spinosad, Imidacloprid, Clothianidin und transge-
er Bt-Süssmais, im Gegensatz zu Carbopur, kei-
nen negativen Einfluss auf Honigbienen haben, die
in Insektizid-behandelten Süssmaisfeldern Pollen
sammeln.
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